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## Motivations

Theorem (Farb-Mosher, 1999)
Classification up to quasi-isometry of Baumslag-Solitar groups $\operatorname{BS}(1, \mathrm{n})$.
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Theorem (Farb-Mosher, 1999)
Classification up to quasi-isometry of Baumslag-Solitar groups $\operatorname{BS}(1, \mathrm{n})$.

Theorem (Eskin-Fisher-Whyte, 2012)
Classification up to quasi-isometry of Diestel-Leader graphs DL(p,q) and of solvable Lie groups $\operatorname{Sol}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$.

Theorem (Eskin-Fisher-Whyte, 2012)
There exists a regular graph which possess an isometry group acting transitively on it which is not quasi-isometric to any Cayley graph.

## Gromov hyperbolic, Busemann spaces
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## Examples:



Figure 1 - Tree


Figure 2 - Log model of the hyperbolic plane

## Busemann functions or "height"

## Definition (Gromov boundary and height function)

Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ be a $\delta$-hyperbolic space and let $x_{0} \in X$.

$$
\partial_{x_{0}} X:=\left\{\text { Geodesic rays starting at } x_{0}\right\} / \sim
$$

Let $a \in \partial_{x_{0}} X, k \in a$. The height function $h_{X}$ on $X$ in regards to $a$ is :

$$
\forall x \in X, h_{X}(x)=-\beta_{a}(x)=\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(d_{X}(x, k(t))-t\right)
$$
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Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ be a $\delta$-hyperbolic space and let $x_{0} \in X$.

$$
\partial_{x_{0}} X:=\left\{\text { Geodesic rays starting at } x_{0}\right\} / \sim
$$

Let $a \in \partial_{x_{0}} X, k \in a$. The height function $h_{X}$ on $X$ in regards to $a$ is :

$$
\forall x \in X, h_{X}(x)=-\beta_{a}(x)=\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(d_{X}(x, k(t))-t\right)
$$

Example:

$h(x, z)=z$ (in the $\log$ model)

Figure 3 - Height in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$

## Vertical geodesics

Definition (Vertical geodesics)
Let $\left(X, d_{X}\right)$ be a $\delta$-hyperbolic space. We fix $a \in \partial X$. A geodesic line is called vertical if one of its half-line is equivalent to a ray in $a$.
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Figure 4 - Vertical geodesics of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$

Horospherical products

## Definition and Examples

## Definition (Horospherical product)

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two $\delta$-hyperbolic spaces. Let $h_{X}$ and $h_{Y}$ be their respective height functions. The horospherical product $X \bowtie Y$ is :

$$
X \bowtie Y:=\left\{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid h_{X}(x)=-h_{Y}(y)\right\}\left(=\bigcup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} X_{z} \times Y_{-z}\right)
$$
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Figure $5-\mathbb{H}^{2} \bowtie \mathbb{H}^{2}=$ Sol


Figure $6-T_{3} \bowtie T_{3}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \imath \mathbb{Z}\right)$

## Distance on $X \bowtie Y$

Definition ( $d_{X \bowtie Y}$ )
The distance $d_{X \bowtie Y}$ is the length path metric induced by $\frac{d_{X}+d_{Y}}{2}$ on $X \times Y$.
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## Distance on $X \bowtie Y$

Definition ( $d_{X \bowtie Y}$ )
The distance $d_{X \bowtie Y}$ is the length path metric induced by $\frac{d_{X}+d_{Y}}{2}$ on $X \times Y$.

Additional assumption on $X$ and $Y$ :
Geodesically complete (geodesics are infinitely extendable)
$\Rightarrow X \bowtie Y$ is connected
Theorem A (F, 2020)

$$
d_{X \bowtie Y}=d_{X}+d_{Y}-\Delta h \pm C
$$

## Geodesic segments

Corollary
A geodesic segment $\alpha$ is close to the union of three vertical geodesics.
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Figure 7 - Geodesic segment of $X \bowtie Y$

## Geodesic lines of $X \bowtie Y$

Theorem B (F, 2020)
Any geodesic line $\alpha$ of $X \bowtie Y$ verifies at least one of the two following statements :

1. $\alpha$ is close to a $X$-type geodesic.
2. $\alpha$ is close to a $Y$-type geodesic.
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Figure 8 - Geodesic line types

## Visual boundary of $X \bowtie Y$

## Corollary

Let $a_{X} \in \partial X$ et $a_{Y} \in \partial Y$. The visual boundary of $X \bowtie Y$ is :

$$
\partial(X \bowtie Y)=\left(\left(\partial X \backslash\left\{a_{X}\right\}\right) \times\left\{a_{Y}\right\}\right) \bigcup\left(\left(\partial Y \backslash\left\{a_{Y}\right\}\right) \times\left\{a_{X}\right\}\right)
$$

## Visual boundary of $X \bowtie Y$

## Corollary

Let $a_{X} \in \partial X$ et $a_{Y} \in \partial Y$. The visual boundary of $X \bowtie Y$ is :

$$
\partial(X \bowtie Y)=\left(\left(\partial X \backslash\left\{a_{X}\right\}\right) \times\left\{a_{Y}\right\}\right) \bigcup\left(\left(\partial Y \backslash\left\{a_{Y}\right\}\right) \times\left\{a_{X}\right\}\right)
$$



Figure 9 - Visual boundary of $X \bowtie Y$

Geometric rigidity of self quasi-isometries

## Geometric rigidity

## Assume :

- $X$ and $Y$ are endowed with admissible desintegrable measures.
- $X$ and $Y$ do not share the same parameter of exponential divergence $(m \neq n)$.
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Theorem C: Geometric rigidity ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ )
Let $\Phi: X \bowtie Y \rightarrow X \bowtie Y$ be a ( $k, c$ )-quasi-isometry. There exist $C(k, c, \bowtie) \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi^{X}: X \rightarrow X$ and $\Phi^{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$ two quasi-isometries such that :

$$
d_{\bowtie}\left(\Phi,\left(\Phi^{X}, \Phi^{Y}\right)\right) \leq C
$$

## Geometric rigidity consequences

Theorem D (F, 2022)
Let $S_{1}=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A_{1}} N_{1}$ and $S_{2}=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A_{2}} N_{2}$ be two simply connected, negatively curved Lie groups (Heintze groups) such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{2}\right)$, then :

$$
\operatorname{QI}\left(\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\operatorname{Diag}\left(A_{1},-A_{2}\right)}\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Bilip}\left(N_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Bilip}\left(N_{2}\right)
$$
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Bi-Lipschitz for the left-invariant Hamenstädt distance $\forall n, n^{\prime} \in N_{i}$ :

$$
d_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\left(2 s-d_{S_{i}}\left((-s, n),\left(-s, n^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)\right)
$$
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(a) $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \mu_{z}^{X}$ measure on $X_{z}$ such that $\forall U \subset X$ :

$$
\mu^{X}(U)=\int_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{z}^{X}\left(U_{z}\right) \mathrm{d} z
$$

(b) There exists $r>0$ such that $\forall a, b \in X, \mu_{h(a)}^{X}\left(B_{r}(a)\right) \asymp \mu_{h(b)}^{X}\left(B_{r}(b)\right)$
(c) There exists $m>0$ such that $\forall z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall U \subset X_{z_{0}}$ :

$$
\forall z \leq z_{0}, e^{m\left(z_{0}-z\right)} \mu_{z_{0}}^{X}(U) \asymp \mu_{z}^{X}\left(\pi_{z}(U)\right)
$$

Where :

- $U_{z}=U \cap h^{-1}(z)$
- $\pi_{z}(U)$ is the vertical projection of $U$ on $X_{z}$.


## Box-tiling of $X \bowtie Y$

Definition: Box $\mathcal{B}(x, R)$ in $X$.
Let $x \in X, R>0$ and $\mathcal{C}(x)$ a cell of nucleus $x$. ( $\mathcal{C}(x) \sim$ rough horizontal disk centered at $x$ )
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\mathcal{B}(x, R):=\bigcup_{z \in] h(x)-R ; h(x)]} \pi_{z}(\mathcal{C}(x))
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Figure 10 - Box Tiling of $X$

## Box-tiling of $X \bowtie Y$

Definition: Box $\mathcal{B}(x, R)$ in $X$.
Let $x \in X, R>0$ and $\mathcal{C}(x)$ a cell of nucleus $x$. $(\mathcal{C}(x) \sim$ rough horizontal disk centered at $x$ )

$$
\mathcal{B}(x, R):=\bigcup_{z \in] h(x)-R ; h(x)]} \pi_{z}(\mathcal{C}(x))
$$



Figure 10 - Box Tiling of $X$


Figure 11 - Box in $X \bowtie Y$
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## Theorem C $\Rightarrow$ Theorem D

We have: $\left(\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A_{1}} N_{1}\right) \bowtie\left(\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A_{2}} N_{2}\right)=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\operatorname{Diag}\left(A_{1},-A_{2}\right)}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$
We want : $\mathrm{QI}\left(\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\operatorname{Diag}\left(A_{1},-A_{2}\right)}\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Bilip}\left(N_{1}\right) \times \operatorname{Bilip}\left(N_{2}\right)$
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1) Theorem $C \Rightarrow \exists \Phi_{i}$ q.i of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A_{i}} N_{i}$ such that $\Phi \approx\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$.
2) $\Phi_{1}$ height respecting $\Rightarrow \exists \Psi_{1}: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{1}$ such that $\Phi_{1} \approx\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Psi_{1}\right)$
3) Show that $\Psi_{1} \in \operatorname{Bilip}\left(N_{1}, d_{\text {Ham }}\right)$.
4) $\Phi \approx\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right) \quad(\Rightarrow(1, c)-\mathrm{QI})$

The End

## Thank you for your attention.



